IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

PHILLIP J. GAINES, #268485,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No.: 2:19-cv-1045-WKW-WC
OFFICER HINES, et al.,)))
Defendants.)

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

I. INTRODUCTION

This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action is pending before the court on a complaint filed by Phillip J. Gaines, a state inmate. In this complaint, Gaines challenges conditions to which he was subjected in October of 2019 while incarcerated at the Bibb County Correctional Facility.

Upon review of the complaint, the court finds that this case should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).¹

II. DISCUSSION

A 42 U.S.C. § 1983 "civil action may be brought in — (1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is

¹ Upon initiation of this civil action, Gaines filed an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Doc. 2. However, under the circumstances of this case, the court finds that a ruling on such application, including assessment and collection of any filing fee, should be undertaken by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama.

located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred . . .; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). The law further provides that "[f]or the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district . . . where it might have been brought[.]" 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

The Bibb County Correctional Facility is located within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. Thus, the action about which Gaines complains occurred at a prison located in the Northern District of Alabama. Moreover, the complaint indicates that the defendants reside in the Northern District of Alabama.

In light of the foregoing and in accordance with applicable federal law, the court concludes that in the interest of justice, this case should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama for review and disposition.²

III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

² In transferring this case, the court makes no determination with respect to the merits of the claims presented in the complaint.

On or before January 2, 2020, the parties may file objections to this

Recommendation. The parties must specifically identify the factual findings and legal

conclusions contained in the Recommendation to which his objection is made. Frivolous,

conclusive, or general objections will not be considered by the court.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed factual findings and legal

conclusions set forth in the Recommendations of the Magistrate Judge shall bar a party

from a de novo determination by the District Court of these factual findings and legal

conclusions and shall "waive the right to challenge on appeal the District Court's order

based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions" except upon grounds of plain error

if necessary in the interests of justice. 11TH Cir. R. 3-1; see Resolution Trust Co. v.

Hallmark Builders, Inc., 996 F.2d 1144, 1149 (11th Cir. 1993) ("When the magistrate

provides such notice and a party still fails to object to the findings of fact [and law] and

those findings are adopted by the district court the party may not challenge them on appeal

in the absence of plain error or manifest injustice."); Henley v. Johnson, 885 F.2d 790, 794

(11th Cir. 1989).

DONE this 18th day of December, 2019.

/s/ Wallace Capel, Jr.

WALLACE CAPEL, JR.

CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

3